Good afternoon my name is Eric Taylor.

Last night and today I've poured through the new information Judith just provided. I've reviewed in detail the SJV Record Drawings and Resolution, and her statement is EXACTLY RIGHT.

The **massive snow pile where the gate is planned** has been <u>completely overlooked</u>. Even though residents pointed this out on February 2nd and over 15 times in Public Comments and photos since.

Everyone who has been down the existing Callahan Way in Winter is aware of this huge pile of snow. **Most Commissioners have seen it**. I included photos in my letter today. That snow pile lasts through Spring every year and Town Staff saw the remains of it yesterday, May 10th.

We know the developer owns the exiting Callahan Way, as stated at the February 9th Hearing, and is responsible for plowing and snow storage. To be clear, this is the Existing Callahan Way's snow storage requirement. NOT the 4-acre parcel snow storage in the Villas III Project Plans and Staff Report. Those 4-acres have a completely separate snow storage problem proven in Sue Farley's letter this week.

When you review **SJV's Record Drawings and Resolution** you will see the <u>Existing Callahan Way's</u> dedicated snow storage located ON THE Villas III PARCEL where units 22-25 are proposed. And the <u>Resolution</u> exactly matches the Record Drawings.

As History, Intrawest built SJV on ITS OWN LAND as their housing mitigation that enabled them to build the Westin. Intrawest permanently dedicated almost 8,000 square feet of the existing Callahan Way's required snow storage onto the land directly south of SJV.

This LOCATION was CAREFULLY PLANNED, and **agreed to** by the developer- Intrawest, Town Planners, and the Planning Commission. Your predecessors <u>VOTED UNANIMOUSLY to approve</u> this Application **by Intrawest**.

This Storage LOCATION was very INTENTIONAL.

- 1. It **complies** with <u>snow storage CODE</u> for a **South Facing Location**.
- 2. It is the ideal location at the bottom of Callahan Way for easy plowing and storage.
- 3. the location **provides buffer zone of at least 75' between Workforce Housing** and whatever eventually gets built to its south. (currently planned for Villas III)
- 4. In Exchange, Intrawest was granted an Exception that allowed them to build SJV with a setback of only 10', rather than 20'.

As you can see, the Resolution's snow storage was Planned very THOUGHTFULLY.

By MISTAKE the <u>Villas III PROPOSED units 22, 23, 24, and 25 are in the middle of the land dedicated for snow storage</u>. This is obviously an error, and it <u>must be corrected</u>. I believe this was an honest mistake by the Developer and the Planners, **albeit a very large mistake**.

So, in CONCLUSION,

- The Villas III application, Tentative Tract Map and Plans must first be UPDATED with this Conditioned Snow Storage before moving forward. As legally required. As reference, the location of the Conditioned Snow Storage can be seen in my letter.
- Today's application CAN NOT be approved unless units 22-25 are completely removed from the project. Which we FULLY SUPPORT.

Thank you for your time.